UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,369	12/26/2006	Philippe Dupraz	ARS-126	2134
	7590 09/25/200 K LLOYD & SALIW	EXAMINER		
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO Box 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614			MARVICH, MARIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

euspto@slspatents.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,369	DUPRAZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARIA B. MARVICH	1633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 45-58 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 57 and 58 is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 45-49,51 and 53-56 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 50 and 52 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2006 is/are: a	drawn from consideration. relection requirement.	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/26/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to an amendment filed 6/30/09. All previous claims have been cancelled and new claims 45-58 have been added. Claims 45-58 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 6/30/09 is acknowledged. Newly added claims 45-56 corresponding to Group I are under examination. However, claims 57 and 58 are withdrawn from examination as being drawn to non-elected subject mater.

Information Disclosure Statement

An IDS filed 12/26/06 has been identified and the documents considered. The signed and initialed PTO Form 1449 has been mailed with this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,369

Art Unit: 1633

Claims 45, 48, 49 and 53-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ashkenazi et al (WO9953059; the citation below is based upon the identical specification of US patent 6,693,181; see entire document).

Ashkenazi et al teach a construct comprising a human tissue plasminogen activator signal sequence fused to an IgG1 sequence (see figure 1 and brief description). The tPA molecule is provided in SEQ ID NO:1 and 7 of Ashkenazi et al and comprise amino acids 23-32 of SEQ ID NO:2. Furthermore the sequence can comprise TNFR sequences (a polypeptide of interest). The constructs are grown in CHO cells (¶ 0036).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 45, 48, 49 and 53-56 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ashkenazi et al (WO9953059; see entire document) in view of Patel et al (WO0052158; see entire document).

Applicants claim a DNA construct comprising SEQ ID NO:3, mouse IgSP operably linked to tPA.

The teachings of Ashkenazi are described above and are applied as before except Ashkenazi et al do not teach that the signal sequence is SEQ I DNO:3.

Patel et al teach construction of a DNA construct encoding a mouse IgSP sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:3 operably linked to sequences for export. Patel

Art Unit: 1633

et al teach that the mouse IgSP is known in the art and predictably can mediate export (see figure 15a).

In KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (U.S. 2007), the Supreme Court particularly emphasized "the need for caution in granting a patent based on a combination of elements found in the prior art," (Id. At 1395) and discussed circumstances in which a patent might be determined to be obvious. Importantly, the Supreme Court reaffirmed principles based on its precedent that obviousness in part is predicated on use of particular known techniques that are recognized as part of the ordinary capabilities of one skilled in the art. In the instant case, it is accepted that generation of the recited construct is done applying a known sequence to a known method to improve the construct with predictable results. As well, it is within the ordinary skill of the art to use available methodologies to isolate a variety of signal sequences for use in a heterologous sequence and one would have been motivated to do so in order as the ability to modify sequences by applying conventional methodologies. Based upon the teachings of the cited references, the high skill of one of ordinary skill in the art, and absent evidence to the contrary, there would have been a reasonable expectation of success to result in the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1633

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARIA B. MARVICH whose telephone number is (571)272-0774. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach, PhD can be reached on (571)-272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Maria B Marvich, PhD Primary Examiner Art Unit 1633

/Maria B Marvich/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633